For the Northern District of California

1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6	IN THE UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT			
7	EOD THE MODTHEDN DIS	PDICT OF CALIFORNIA			
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
9					
10	COBALT PARTNERS, LP, COBALT	No. C 15-03988 WHA			
11	PARTNERS II, LP, COBALT OFFSHORE MASTER FUND, LP AND COBALT KC				
12	PARTNERS, LP,	ORDER DENYING PRO HAC			
13	Plaintiffs,	VICE APPLICATIONS OF ATTORNEYS MICHAEL			
14	V.	BONGIORNO AND TIMOTHY PERLA			
15	SUNEDISON, INC., AHMAD CHATILA, BRIAN WUEBBELS, MARTIN TRUONG,				
16	ALEJANDRO HERNANDEZ, EMMANUEL HERNANDEZ, ANTONIO R.				
17	ALVAREZ, PETER BLACKMORE, CLAYTON DALEY JR., GEORGANNE				
18	PROCTOR, STEVEN TESORIERE, JAMES B. WILLIAMS, RANDY H. ZWIRN,				
19	GOLDMAN, SACHS & CO., J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES LLC, MORGAN				
20	STANLEY & CO. LLC, MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH				
21	INCORPORATED, DEUTSCHE BANK SECURITIES INC., MACQUARIE				
22	CAPITAL (USA), INC., MCS CAPITAL MARKETS LLC and DOES 1- 25, inclusive,				
23	Defendants.				
24	/				
25	The pro hac vice applications of Attorneys	Michael Bongiorno and Timothy Perla (Dkt.			
26	Nos. 15–16) are DENIED for failing to comply w	ith Civil Local Rule 11–3. The local rule			
27	requires that an applicant certify that "he or she is an active member in good standing of the ba				
28	of a United States Court or of the highest court of another State or the District of Columbia				

Case 1:16-cv-08006-PKC Document 32 Filed 05/12/16 Page 2 of 2

specifying such bar" (emphasis added). Filling out the pro hac vice form from the district court
website such that it only identifies the state of bar membership — such as "the bar of Texas" —
is inadequate under the local rule because it fails to identify a specific court (such as the
Supreme Court of Texas). While the application fees do not need to be paid again, the
applications cannot be processed until corrected forms are submitted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 12, 2016.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE